## Case 3:06-cv-07931-SI Document 7 Filed 01/25/07 Page 1 of 3

1 2	KENT M. ROGER, State Bar No. 95987 WILLIAM J. TAYLOR, State Bar No. 72902 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP		
3	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 442-1000 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001		
5	Attorneys for Defendants		
6	Hitachi America, Ltd. and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	Michael Eliav, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated,	Case No. 06-CV-07931 MMC	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME	
13	V.	Honorable Maxine M. Chesney	
14	LG-Philips LCD Co. Ltd., et al.,		
15 16	Defendants.		
17	STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME		
18	WHEREAS plaintiff filed a complaint in the above-captioned case on or about		
19	December 28, 2006;		
20	WHEREAS plaintiff alleges antitrust violations by manufacturers of Liquid Crystal		
21	Display ("LCD") products;		
22	WHEREAS more than forty-one complaints have been filed to date in federal district		
23	courts throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bringing class actions on behalf of		
24	indirect purchasers alleging antitrust violations by manufacturers of LCD products (collectively,		
25	"the LCD Cases");		
26	WHEREAS there is a motion pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation		
27 28	to transfer the LCD Cases to the Northern Di	strict of California for coordinated or consolidated	

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME 06-CV-07931 MMC 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

MORGAN, LEWIS & **BOCKIUS LLP** ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SAN FRANCISCO

1-SF/7484760.1

WHEREAS plaintiff anticipates the possibility of Consolidated Amended Complaints in the LCD Cases:

WHEREAS plaintiff and Hitachi America, Ltd. ("HAL") and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. ("HED-US") have agreed that an orderly schedule for any response to the pleadings in the LCD Cases would be more efficient for the parties and for the Court;

WHEREAS plaintiff agrees that the deadline for HAL and HED-US to respond to the Complaint shall be extended until the earlier of the following two dates: (1) forty-five days after the filing of a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the LCD Cases; or (2) forty-five days after plaintiff provides written notice to HAL and HED-US that plaintiff does not intend to file a Consolidated Amended Complaint, provided that such notice may be given only after the initial case management conference in the MDL transferee court in this case;

WHEREAS plaintiff further agrees that this extension is available, without further stipulation with counsel for plaintiff, to all named defendants who notify plaintiff in writing of their intention to join this extension;

WHEREAS this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by HAL and HED-US or any defendant of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal or subject matter jurisdiction, insufficiency of process, insufficiency of service of process, or improper venue.

PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS HAL AND HED-US, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The deadline for the HAL and HED-US to respond to the Complaint shall be extended until the earlier of the following two dates (1) forty-five days after the filing of a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the LCD Cases; or (2) forty-five days after the plaintiff provides written notice that he does not intend to file a Consolidated Amended Complaint, provided that such notice may be given only after the initial case management conference in the MDL transferee court in this case.

2

2. This extension is available, without further stipulation with counsel for plaintiff or

## Case 3:06-cv-07931-SI Document 7 Filed 01/25/07 Page 3 of 3

1	further order of the Court, to all named defendants who notify plaintiff in writing of their	
2	intention to join this extension.	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
<ul><li>4</li><li>5</li><li>6</li></ul>	Dated: 124.67 Dated: 1-25-07  Signature: Susan G. Kupfer Kent M. Roger	
7 8 9	Sylvie K. Kern  Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP  455 Market Street, Suite 1810  San Francisco, CA 94105  William J. Taylor  Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP  One Market, Spear Street Tower  San Francisco, CA 94105-1126	
10 11	Counsel for Plaintiff Michael Eliav  Counsel for Defendants  Hitachi America, Ltd. and Hitachi Electronic  Devices (USA), Inc.	
12	SO ORDERED: Mafine M. Chesney	
13 14	Dated: January 25, 2007 Maxine M. Chesney UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20		

28 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

1-SF/7484760.1

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME 06-CV-07931 MMC